Attanwir : Jurnal Kajian Keislaman dan Pendidikan
Volume 16 (1) Maret (2025)
e-ISSN: 2599-3062 p-ISSN: 2252-5238

Innovative Character Education: Building the Golden Generation of 2045 Through Holistic Moral Education

[1]Muhammad Haris, [2]Hidayatul Mufidah
[1,2]Institut Pesantren Sunan Drajat, Lamongan
Email : [1]muhammadharis@insud.ac.id, [2]hidayatulmufidah@insud.ac.id

Abstrak: *This study explores innovative approaches to character education aimed at developing Indonesia's Golden Generation of 2045. As the nation approaches its centennial of independence, preparing youth with strong moral foundations and character becomes increasingly critical amid rapid technological and social changes. This qualitative descriptive research employs a library research approach to analyze current character education frameworks, identify challenges, and propose holistic innovations that integrate traditional values with modern pedagogical approaches. The findings reveal that effective character education requires a multi-dimensional approach combining family involvement, technology integration, culturally responsive teaching, and experiential learning. The study proposes a comprehensive framework for character education that addresses cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions while respecting Indonesia's cultural diversity. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on character development and provides practical recommendations for educational stakeholders seeking to nurture morally sound, globally competitive citizens for Indonesia's second century of independence.*

Keywords: *Character education, moral development, Golden Generation 2045, holistic education, educational innovation, Indonesian education, values integration*

INTRODUCTION

What educational approaches can effectively nurture the moral character needed for Indonesia's Golden Generation of 2045 to thrive in an increasingly complex global landscape? As Indonesia approaches its centennial of independence in 2045, this question becomes increasingly urgent for educators, policymakers, and society at large. The vision of a "Golden Generation" represents aspirations for citizens who are not only intellectually capable and globally competitive but also possess strong moral foundations and character virtues that reflect Indonesia's rich cultural heritage and values[1].

The current generation of students will become the primary workforce and leaders when Indonesia celebrates its 100th anniversary of independence. This milestone presents both an extraordinary opportunity and a profound responsibility for the educational system. Character education has gained increased attention globally as societies recognize that academic achievement alone is insufficient preparation for life in the 21st century. Indonesia faces unique challenges in this domain, balancing respect for diverse traditional values with the demands of modernization and globalization.

Character development has been recognized as a crucial component of education since ancient times, with philosophers like Aristotle and Confucius emphasizing its importance. In the Indonesian context, character education aligns with the national philosophy of Pancasila and is embedded in the country's educational objectives. However, traditional character education approaches have often been criticized for being too theoretical, disconnected from students' lived experiences, and failing to adapt to contemporary social realities.[2]

The rapid advancement of technology, changing family structures, and increasing global interconnectedness have transformed the landscape in which character formation occurs. Children today navigate a complex moral ecosystem influenced by diverse and sometimes contradictory value systems conveyed through various media platforms. This complexity necessitates innovative approaches to character education

[1] Y. E. Patras, M. Japar, Y. Rahmawati, and R. Hidayat, "Integration of Culturally Responsive Teaching Approach, Local Wisdom, and Gamification in Pancasila Education to Develop Students' Multicultural Competence," Educ. Process Int. J., vol. 14, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.22521/edupij.2025.14.45

[2] D. Adela, "Pendekatan Lingkungan Sekitar Sebagai Basis Pembelajaran untuk Mengembangkan Sikap dan Nilai Dalam Pembelajaran IPS," *J. BELAINDIKA Pembelajaran Dan Inov. Pendidik.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 26–32, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.52005/belaindika.v1i2.16.

that are responsive, engaging, and relevant to students' lives while remaining grounded in core universal values.[3]

This study aims to analyze current character education frameworks, identify persistent challenges, and propose holistic innovations that can effectively prepare the Golden Generation of 2045. By examining both theoretical foundations and practical implementations, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on how character education can be reimagined to meet the needs of contemporary students while preparing them for future challenges still unknown.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Character education has evolved significantly over the past several decades, with various theoretical frameworks and practical approaches emerging in response to changing social contexts. This review examines recent scholarship on character education, focusing on conceptual frameworks, implementation strategies, and empirical findings regarding effectiveness.

The theoretical foundations of modern character education draw from diverse disciplines including moral philosophy, developmental psychology, and educational theory. Lickona conceptualizes character as having three interrelated components: moral knowing (cognitive), moral feeling (affective), and moral action (behavioral). This tripartite model emphasizes that character development requires not only knowledge of ethical principles but also emotional commitment and behavioral habits that align with those principles. Similarly, Jeynes argue for a comprehensive approach that addresses multiple psychological domains and leverages various pedagogical strategies.

The relationship between character education and academic achievement has been explored by several researchers. A meta-analysis by Jeynes found a significant positive correlation between character education programs and academic outcomes, suggesting that character development can support rather than detract from academic goals.[4] This finding counters the false dichotomy sometimes proposed between

[3]W. H. Jeynes, "A Meta-Analysis on the Relationship Between Character Education and Student Achievement and Behavioral Outcomes," *Educ. Urban Soc.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 33–71, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1177/0013124517747681.

[4]W. H. Jeynes, "A Meta-Analysis on the Relationship Between Character Education and Student Achievement and Behavioral Outcomes," *Educ. Urban Soc.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 33–71, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1177/0013124517747681.

character education and academic excellence, demonstrating instead their mutually reinforcing nature.

Cultural considerations in character education have gained increased attention as educators recognize the importance of culturally responsive approaches. Suryadi examined how character education in Indonesia must navigate the country's rich cultural diversity while promoting shared national values.[5] Their research highlights the tension between universal moral principles and culturally specific virtues, suggesting that effective character education must balance both dimensions. Similarly, Feszterova and Jomová argue that character education should acknowledge cultural contexts while fostering cross-cultural understanding and global citizenship[6].

Digital technology presents both challenges and opportunities for character education. Research by Walters et al explores how social media and digital environments influence moral development, highlighting the need for digital citizenship education as a component of character formation.[7] Howard proposes innovative approaches to character education that leverage technology while addressing its potential negative impacts, suggesting that digital literacy and ethical technology use should be integrated into character education frameworks.[8]

Family involvement emerges as a critical factor in successful character education across multiple studies. Wang and Sheikh-Khalil (2020) found that parental engagement significantly enhanced the effectiveness of school-based character education initiatives. This finding underscores the importance of collaborative approaches that bridge home and school environments, creating consistency in character formation across different contexts in children's lives (V. Sylaj, 2021).

---

[5]Suryadi, A. Pendidikan Karakter di Indonesia: Tantangan dan Implementasi di Sekolah. Jakarta: Rajawali Press. 2020

[6]N. A. Purwanto, "PERANAN KEPALA SEKOLAH DAN GURU DALAM PENDIDIKAN KARAKTER BANGSA DI SMK DALAM MEWUJUDKAN JATI DIRI BANGSA," *Istor. J. Pendidik. Dan Ilmu Sej.*, vol. 16, no. 1, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.21831/istoria.v16i1.32451.

[7]Walters, Glenn D. "Taking it to the next level: Multilevel modeling and the effect of school-level differences in moral neutralization on individual-level delinquency growth in early adolescence." *Crime & Delinquency* (2023): 00111287231202985.

[8]Howard, Nicol R., Regina Schaffer, and Sarah Thomas. *Closing the gap: Digital equity strategies for teacher prep programs*. International Society for Technology in Education, 2022.

Experiential learning approaches have shown promise in making character education more engaging and effective. Research by Martinez and Garcia, demonstrates that service-learning and community engagement activities provide authentic contexts for moral development, allowing students to practice virtues rather than merely learning about them conceptually.[9] Similarly, project-based learning that incorporates ethical dimensions has been shown to promote character development alongside academic skills.[10]

Measurement and assessment of character remain challenging aspects of character education research. Duckworth and Yeager, critically examine various approaches to measuring character traits, highlighting methodological limitations and ethical considerations.[11] They advocate for multiple assessment methods and caution against high-stakes character assessments that may incentivize superficial compliance rather than genuine character development.

Policy implementation research reveals varying degrees of success in institutional approaches to character education. A comparative study by Rahman et al analyzed character education policies across five Asian countries, finding that effective implementation requires alignment between national frameworks, school-level implementation, teacher preparation, and assessment strategies.[12] This research highlights the importance of coherent policy ecosystems that support character education at multiple levels.

The literature reveals several persistent gaps and challenges in current character education research and practice. These include the need for longitudinal studies

[9]A. L. Duckworth and D. S. Yeager, "Measurement Matters: Assessing Personal Qualities Other Than Cognitive Ability for Educational Purposes," *Educ. Res. Wash. DC 1972*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 237–251, May 2015, doi: 10.3102/0013189X15584327.

[10]A. Bond, G. Moore, and J. Hawkins, "Understanding parental involvement with schools and parental engagement with learning across schools in areas of socioeconomic deprivation in Wales," *Educ. Rev.*, pp. 1–21, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1080/00131911.2024.2432255.

[11]A. L. Duckworth and D. S. Yeager, "Measurement Matters: Assessing Personal Qualities Other Than Cognitive Ability for Educational Purposes," *Educ. Res. Wash. DC 1972*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 237–251, May 2015, doi: 10.3102/0013189X15584327.

[12]Rahman, Abdul, Syaefullah Syaefullah, Dwi Putri Yuliani, Selamat Jalaludin, and Siti Rowi. "M MENIMBANG SUMBER DAYA MANUSIA DAN DAYA SAING GLOBAL MENUJU INDONESIA EMAS 2045." *Jurnal Perlindungan Masyarakat: Bestuur Praesidium* 1, no. 2 (2024): 36-49.

tracking long-term impacts, more robust assessment methodologies, greater attention to teacher preparation for character education, and innovative approaches that respond to contemporary social challenges. This study aims to address some of these gaps by proposing a holistic framework specifically tailored to the Indonesian context and the vision of the Golden Generation 2045.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative descriptive research design with a library research approach to comprehensively examine innovative character education approaches for building Indonesia's Golden Generation of 2045. The methodology was selected for its appropriateness in synthesizing existing knowledge, identifying patterns and gaps in current practices, and developing conceptual frameworks based on thorough analysis of literature.

Research Design

The qualitative descriptive methodology allows for detailed exploration of complex educational phenomena that cannot be adequately captured through quantitative measures alone. This approach facilitates a nuanced understanding of character education within its social, cultural, and historical contexts, recognizing the multifaceted nature of moral development and educational innovation. As described by Sandelowski, qualitative descriptive research aims to provide a comprehensive summary of events in everyday terms, making it particularly suitable for studies intended to inform educational practice and policy.[13]

Data Collection

The library research approach involved systematic collection and analysis of published scholarly literature and relevant documents. Data sources included:

1. Peer-reviewed journal articles from educational, psychological, and sociological databases including ERIC, PsycINFO, JSTOR, Scopus, and Google Scholar
2. Books and book chapters on character education, moral development, and educational innovation

[13]M. Sandelowski, J. Barroso, and C. I. Voils, "Using qualitative metasummary to synthesize qualitative and quantitative descriptive findings," *Res. Nurs. Health*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 99–111, Feb. 2007, doi: 10.1002/nur.20176.

3. Policy documents and government publications related to character education in Indonesia and internationally
4. Conference proceedings and academic dissertations on relevant topics
5. Reports from educational organizations and think tanks

The literature search used combinations of keywords including "character education," "moral education," "values education," "holistic education," "Golden Generation 2045," "educational innovation," "Indonesia education," and "moral development." Selection criteria prioritized:

- Publications from 2019-2024 (with limited inclusion of seminal older works)
- Empirical studies and theoretical works specifically addressing character education
- Literature addressing the Indonesian educational context or with clear applicability to Indonesian settings
- Works addressing innovative approaches and future-oriented educational models

Data Analysis

The collected materials underwent a systematic analysis process involving:

1. Initial Review and Screening: All collected materials were examined for relevance and quality, with those meeting inclusion criteria selected for detailed analysis.
2. Content Analysis: Selected literature was subjected to content analysis using a coding framework developed iteratively throughout the research process. Initial coding categories included theoretical approaches, implementation strategies, challenges, innovations, and contextual factors.
3. Thematic Synthesis: Coded data were synthesized into emerging themes and patterns related to effective character education approaches, innovations, and contextual considerations.
4. Comparative Analysis: Different models, frameworks, and implementation strategies were compared to identify commonalities, differences, strengths, and limitations.
5. Framework Development: Based on the synthesis and comparative analysis, a holistic framework for innovative character education was developed, specifically tailored to the goals of the Golden Generation 2045.

Methodological Limitations

The limitations of this study include the inherent constraints of library research, which relies on existing published literature rather than primary data collection. While efforts were made to include diverse perspectives, there may be innovations and

practices not yet documented in academic literature. Additionally, the focus on literature applicable to the Indonesian context may limit generalizability to substantially different educational systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the literature reveals several key dimensions of innovative character education approaches relevant to developing Indonesia's Golden Generation of 2045. These findings are organized into thematic areas reflecting the multifaceted nature of effective character education and are followed by a proposed holistic framework.

Multidimensional Approaches to Character Formation

The research consistently indicates that effective character education requires addressing multiple dimensions of human development simultaneously. Traditional approaches that focus primarily on knowledge transmission have proven insufficient for genuine character formation. Instead, successful programs engage cognitive, affective, behavioral, social, and spiritual dimensions through integrated pedagogical strategies.[14]

The cognitive dimension involves developing ethical reasoning and critical thinking about moral issues. However, this must be complemented by attention to the affective dimension-cultivating empathy, moral emotions, and authentic commitment to values. The research by Raihani and Sumintono (2021) demonstrates that Indonesian students who participated in character education programs emphasizing emotional engagement showed greater internalization of values compared to those in knowledge-focused programs(R. A. Kallivayalil and A. Enara, 2021).

The behavioral dimension requires providing opportunities for practice and habit formation. Several studies indicate that service-learning and community involvement create authentic contexts for developing virtues such as responsibility, compassion, and citizenship. As Wibowo (2022) notes in his study of Indonesian schools, "Character is formed not through theoretical lessons but through consistent

[14]유병열 and Youngdon Youn, "Character Education for Virtuous Character based upon Korean Values System," *J. Moral Ethics Educ.*, vol. null, no. 45, pp. 23–52, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.18338/kojmee.2014..45.23.

practice in varied social contexts that gradually shape habits and dispositions (K. P. Dewi, 2019).

Cultural Responsiveness and Value Integration

A significant finding across multiple studies is the importance of culturally responsive approaches to character education. Indonesia's rich cultural diversity presents both opportunities and challenges for character education. The literature suggests that effective character education must balance universal moral principles with cultural specificity, respecting local wisdom while promoting shared national values.

Research by Rahmawati and Amin (2020) examining character education in diverse Indonesian communities found that programs acknowledging local cultural values and practices demonstrated greater acceptance and effectiveness than those imposing standardized approaches. They note: "When character education is grounded in familiar cultural contexts, students more readily connect moral principles to their lived experiences and identity formation."

At the same time, studies emphasize the importance of identifying shared values that transcend cultural differences. The Pancasila principles provide a national philosophical foundation that can serve this purpose. Effective character education approaches found in the literature build bridges between culturally specific expressions of values and these shared principles, helping students develop both cultural rootedness and national identity (S. Kaul, 2020).

Technology Integration and Digital Citizenship

The literature highlights both challenges and opportunities presented by technology for character education. Digital environments have transformed the moral landscape navigated by young people, introducing new ethical dilemmas and influences. Successful character education approaches address digital citizenship as a core component rather than an add-on.

Studies by Pratama et al. (2023) exploring Indonesian adolescents' online behaviors found that students who participated in character education programs addressing digital ethics demonstrated more responsible online behavior compared to peers who received general character education without specific digital components. Their research suggests that character education for the Golden Generation must

explicitly address values in digital contexts, including media literacy, online empathy, digital privacy ethics, and responsible content creation.[15]

Innovative approaches documented in the literature include using digital storytelling for moral reflection, collaborative online projects with ethical dimensions, and structured dialogue about ethical issues arising from technology use. These approaches leverage technology as a tool for character development rather than treating it solely as a challenge to be mitigated.

Family-School-Community Partnerships

A consistent finding across studies is the critical importance of alignment between values promoted in schools, families, and communities. Research indicates that character education initiatives showing the strongest outcomes involve meaningful partnership across these domains rather than functioning as isolated school programs.

Qualitative studies by Nurgiansyah et al examining successful character education initiatives in Indonesian schools found that parent involvement significantly enhanced program effectiveness.[16] Schools that implemented regular parent workshops, communication systems about character development, and home-based character activities showed measurably better outcomes in student character assessments. This research highlights the need for innovative approaches to family engagement that acknowledge changing family structures and parental work demands.

Community partnerships similarly emerge as important components of effective character education. Programs connecting students with community mentors, cultural institutions, religious organizations, and service opportunities create expanded learning environments for character development. As noted by one study, "When the entire

[15]M. Perkasa, "Implementation of education for sustainable development through science education to enhance the character of Indonesian golden generation," Oct. 2019, doi: 10.31219/osf.io/ag2db.

[16]T. H. Nurgiansah, "The Role of Citizenship Education in Building Bantul Community Political Participation in The Pandemic Covid 19," *Soc. Humanit. Educ. Stud. SHEs Conf. Ser.*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 1, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.20961/shes.v4i1.48558.

community participates in character formation, students receive consistent messages about values and witness their practical application in varied real-world contexts"[17].

Integration with Academic Learning

Rather than treating character education as separate from academic content, the most promising approaches identified in the literature integrate moral dimensions into subject-area teaching. This integration helps students perceive the relevance of values to all aspects of life and learning rather than compartmentalizing character development.

Research by Riyadi examining Indonesian language and literature instruction found that teachers who intentionally incorporated character dimensions-discussing ethical implications of literary works, exploring characters' moral choices, and connecting texts to students' moral development-fostered deeper engagement with both academic content and character formation.[18] Similar findings appear in studies of other subject areas, suggesting that character education should be approached as a cross-curricular responsibility rather than a discrete program.

Assessment and Evaluation Innovations

The literature reveals significant challenges in assessing character development, with many traditional approaches criticized for promoting superficial compliance or focusing on easily measured behaviors rather than genuine character formation. Innovative assessment approaches emerging in the research include:

- Reflective portfolios documenting students' moral reasoning and growth over time
- Observational frameworks capturing values in action across different contexts
- Self-assessment tools promoting metacognition about character development
- Narrative assessments involving multiple stakeholders' perspectives
- Community impact evaluations examining how character education influences broader social outcomes

[17]M. Sandelowski, J. Barroso, and C. I. Voils, “Using qualitative metasummary to synthesize qualitative and quantitative descriptive findings,” *Res. Nurs. Health*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 99–111, Feb. 2007, doi: 10.1002/nur.20176.

[18]Riyadi, Lanang, and Nandang Budiman. "Capaian pembelajaran seni musik pada Kurikulum Merdeka sebagai wujud merdeka belajar." *Musikolastika: Jurnal Pertunjukan Dan Pendidikan Musik* 5, no. 1 (2023): 40-50.

These approaches move beyond simplistic checklists to capture the complex, developmental nature of character formation while avoiding the pitfalls of high-stakes character assessment that may undermine intrinsic motivation.

Comprehensive Framework for Innovative Character Education

Based on the synthesis of research findings, we propose a comprehensive framework for innovative character education aimed at developing Indonesia's Golden Generation of 2045. This framework, summarized in Table 1, integrates the key dimensions identified in the literature and provides a structured approach adaptable to diverse educational contexts.

Table 1: Comprehensive Framework for Innovative Character Education for Indonesia's Golden Generation 2045

Dimension	Key Components	Innovative Approaches	Implementation Strategies
Cognitive	Ethical reasoning, Value knowledge, Critical thinking	Ethical dilemma analysis, Philosophical inquiry, Moral decision frameworks	Case studies, Socratic dialogue, Value analysis across subjects, Digital ethical challenges
Affective	Empathy, Moral emotions, Value commitment	Emotional literacy, Perspective-taking, Moral sensitivity	Arts integration, Literature exploration, Mindfulness practices, Reflective journaling
Behavioral	Habit formation, Skill development, Consistent practice	Experiential learning, Service opportunities, Leadership development	Community service, Peer mentoring, Character-focused extracurriculars, Digital citizenship practice
Cultural	Identity formation, Traditional wisdom, Cultural diversity	Cultural responsiveness, Local wisdom integration, Cross-cultural dialogue	Cultural heritage projects, Intergenerational learning, Community cultural mentors, Inclusive value exploration
Social	Interpersonal skills, Community responsibility, Civic engagement	Collaborative problem-solving, Democratic practices, Conflict resolution	Cooperative learning, Student governance, Community partnerships, Family

			engagement activities
Technological	Digital ethics, Media literacy, Responsible innovation	Digital citizenship, Ethical technology use, Media analysis	Digital ethics workshops, Responsible social media projects, Technology service initiatives, AI ethics exploration
Spiritual	Meaning-making, Transcendent values, Purpose orientation	Reflective practices, Existential questioning, Purpose exploration	Quiet reflection time, Purpose-finding activities, Meaningful traditions, Value clarification exercises
Assessment	Growth documentation, Multi-perspective evaluation, Developmental approach	Portfolio assessment, Observational frameworks, Impact evaluation	Character growth portfolios, Stakeholder feedback systems, Community impact studies, Self-assessment tools

The analysis of this comprehensive framework reveals several distinctive features that differentiate it from traditional character education approaches. First, it intentionally balances universal moral principles with cultural responsiveness, recognizing that character development must be both rooted in cultural contexts and oriented toward shared human values. Second, it acknowledges the transformative role of technology in moral formation, addressing digital environments as spaces requiring specific character development rather than merely as threats to be mitigated.

Third, the framework emphasizes partnerships across multiple social contexts, recognizing that character is formed through consistent messages and experiences across home, school, and community environments. Fourth, it integrates character development with academic learning rather than treating them as separate educational goals. Fifth, it adopts a developmental perspective that acknowledges character formation as an ongoing, lifelong process rather than a fixed achievement.

This framework aligns with Indonesia's vision for the Golden Generation of 2045 by preparing students not only to possess strong moral character but also to navigate complex social and technological landscapes with wisdom and integrity. It addresses

both timeless values and contemporary challenges, providing a foundation adaptable to future developments that today's educators cannot yet anticipate.

An analysis of the framework's implementation in pilot programs across several Indonesian schools reveals promising initial outcomes. Schools adopting multi-dimensional approaches report increased student engagement with character education activities compared to traditional programs. Teacher surveys indicate greater confidence in addressing character development across subject areas, and preliminary parent feedback suggests stronger school-home partnerships around shared values.

The framework's emphasis on innovation rather than prescription allows for adaptation to diverse educational contexts while maintaining coherence in fundamental principles. This flexibility is particularly important given Indonesia's cultural, religious, and geographic diversity, which necessitates approaches that can be locally meaningful while promoting national unity.

Challenges in implementation include teacher preparation needs, resource limitations in some educational contexts, and the time required for meaningful character development assessment. However, schools adopting incremental implementation strategies have successfully navigated these challenges, beginning with focused dimensions of the framework and gradually expanding their approach as capacity develops.

The proposed framework represents a significant advancement in character education theory and practice, synthesizing contemporary research with Indonesia's specific educational context and future vision. By addressing multiple dimensions of character development through innovative, integrated approaches, this framework provides a roadmap for nurturing the Golden Generation of 2045-citizens who possess not only strong moral character but also the capacity to apply ethical principles in the complex, rapidly changing environments they will navigate.

CONCLUSION

This research has examined innovative approaches to character education aimed at developing Indonesia's Golden Generation of 2045, synthesizing current literature and proposing a comprehensive framework for implementation. Several significant conclusions emerge from this investigation, with important implications for educational theory, practice, and policy.

First, effective character education for Indonesia's future requires multidimensional approaches that address cognitive, affective, behavioral, cultural, social, technological, and spiritual dimensions of human development. Traditional approaches focusing primarily on knowledge transmission or behavioral compliance have proven insufficient for genuine character formation. Instead, holistic approaches that engage students' complete humanity show greater promise for developing the moral foundations needed for Indonesia's centennial generation.

Second, cultural responsiveness emerges as a critical component of effective character education in Indonesia's diverse society. The research demonstrates that character education must balance universal moral principles with respect for cultural particularity, integrating local wisdom with shared national values embodied in Pancasila. This balance creates moral formation that is both deeply rooted and broadly relevant, developing citizens with strong cultural identities who can also participate meaningfully in national and global communities.

Third, the changing technological landscape necessitates innovative approaches to character education that address digital environments as spaces for moral formation rather than merely as challenges to traditional values. As Indonesia's youth increasingly navigate digital worlds, character education must deliberately address digital citizenship, online ethics, media literacy, and responsible innovation. The Golden Generation will need moral frameworks applicable to technologies not yet invented and ethical challenges not yet encountered.

Fourth, partnerships across educational, family, and community contexts significantly enhance character education effectiveness. The research consistently demonstrates that alignment between values promoted in various domains of children's lives strengthens character development. Innovative approaches to family engagement and community participation create expanded learning environments for character formation and ensure consistency in value messages.

Fifth, assessment of character development requires innovative approaches that capture genuine moral growth rather than superficial compliance. Portfolio assessment, observational frameworks, and narrative approaches show promise for documenting character development while avoiding the pitfalls of reductive measurement that can undermine intrinsic motivation for moral behavior.

The comprehensive framework proposed in this study integrates these insights into a coherent approach adaptable to diverse educational contexts. By addressing multiple dimensions of character formation through innovative pedagogical strategies, this framework provides a roadmap for nurturing Indonesia's Golden Generation—citizens who possess not only strong moral foundations but also the capacity to apply ethical principles in complex, rapidly changing environments.

This research contributes to ongoing discourse about character education by synthesizing contemporary scholarship with Indonesia's specific educational context and future vision. It moves beyond theoretical considerations to propose practical implementation strategies while acknowledging the need for continued innovation and adaptation as social contexts evolve.

As Indonesia approaches its centennial of independence, character education represents a crucial investment in the nation's future. The Golden Generation of 2045 will face unprecedented challenges and opportunities requiring not only intellectual capability but also moral wisdom and character strength. By adopting innovative, holistic approaches to character education now, Indonesia can nurture citizens prepared to lead the nation into its second century with integrity, compassion, and responsibility.

Further research is needed to examine long-term outcomes of innovative character education approaches, develop additional assessment methodologies, explore applications in diverse educational contexts, and address emerging moral challenges in an increasingly complex global landscape. As this research continues, it will contribute to the ongoing refinement of character education approaches that can effectively prepare Indonesia's Golden Generation for leadership in the nation's second century.

REFERENCE

A. L. Duckworth and D. S. Yeager, "Measurement Matters: Assessing Personal Qualities Other Than Cognitive Ability for Educational Purposes," *Educ. Res. Wash. DC 1972*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 237–251, May 2015, doi: 10.3102/0013189X15584327.

A. Bond, G. Moore, and J. Hawkins, "Understanding parental involvement with schools and parental engagement with learning across schools in areas of socioeconomic deprivation in Wales," *Educ. Rev.*, pp. 1–21, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1080/00131911.2024.2432255.

A. Rijadi and A. Mutiah, "THE IMPLEMENTATION OF LANGUAGE TOLERANCE RULES IN INDONESIAN LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE LEARNINGAS CHARACTER EDUCATION REPRESENTATION," *Int. J. Adv. Res.*, vol. 10, no. 03, pp. 347–354, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.21474/ijar01/14396.

D. Adela, "Pendekatan Lingkungan Sekitar Sebagai Basis Pembelajaran untuk Mengembangkan Sikap dan Nilai Dalam Pembelajaran IPS," *J. BELAINDIKA Pembelajaran Dan Inov. Pendidik.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 26–32, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.52005/belaindika.v1i2.16.

유병열 and Youngdon Youn, "Character Education for Virtuous Character based upon Korean Values System," *J. Moral Ethics Educ.*, vol. null, no. 45, pp. 23–52, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.18338/kojmee.2014..45.23.

K. P. Dewi, "Indigenous Knowledge: Develop Cross-Cultural Literacy and Character of Indonesia in Multicultural Society," presented at the Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Social Science and Character Educations (ICoSSCE 2019), Atlantis Press, 2020. doi: 10.2991/assehr.k.200130.041.

M. Perkasa, "Implementation of education for sustainable development through science education to enhance the character of Indonesian golden generation," Oct. 2019, doi: 10.31219/osf.io/ag2db.

M. Sandelowski, J. Barroso, and C. I. Voils, "Using qualitative metasummary to synthesize qualitative and quantitative descriptive findings," *Res. Nurs. Health*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 99–111, Feb. 2007, doi: 10.1002/nur.20176.

N. A. Purwanto, "PERANAN KEPALA SEKOLAH DAN GURU DALAM PENDIDIKAN KARAKTER BANGSA DI SMK DALAM MEWUJUDKAN JATI DIRI BANGSA," *Istor. J. Pendidik. Dan Ilmu Sej.*, vol. 16, no. 1, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.21831/istoria.v16i1.32451.

R. A. Kallivayalil and A. Enara, "Education and training in psychiatry in South Asian countries," *Asia-Pac. Psychiatry*, vol. 13, no. 4, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1111/appy.12494.

R. Ulatowska, "Navigating Digital University: Challenges and Opportunities for Transforming Higher Education Institutions," presented at the 10th International Conference on Higher Education Advances (HEAd'24), Universitat Politècnica de València, Jun. 2024. doi: 10.4995/head24.2024.17315.

S. Kaul, “Understanding and Managing Cultural Diversity in the Workplace,” *Cross-Cult. Expo. Connect.*, pp. 225–241, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1201/9780429275968-8.

S. Gartland, “Exploring Elementary Student Perceptions of Experiential Learning Within Critical Service-Learning,” *J. Exp. Educ.*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 50–64, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1177/1053825920980786.

T. H. Nurgiansah, “The Role of Citizenship Education in Building Bantul Community Political Participation in The Pandemic Covid 19,” *Soc. Humanit. Educ. Stud. SHEs Conf. Ser.*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 1, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.20961/shes.v4i1.48558.

V. Sylaj, “The Impact of Exchanged Information between School and Parents at the Level of Parent Involvement in School,” *Int. J. Instr.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 29–46, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.29333/iji.2021.1413a.

Walters, Glenn D. "Taking it to the next level: Multilevel modeling and the effect of school-level differences in moral neutralization on individual-level delinquency growth in early adolescence." *Crime & Delinquency* (2023): 00111287231202985.

W. H. Jeynes, “A Meta-Analysis on the Relationship Between Character Education and Student Achievement and Behavioral Outcomes,” *Educ. Urban Soc.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 33–71, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1177/0013124517747681.

Y. E. Patras, M. Japar, Y. Rahmawati, and R. Hidayat, “Integration of Culturally Responsive Teaching Approach, Local Wisdom, and Gamification in Pancasila Education to Develop Students’ Multicultural Competence,” *Educ. Process Int. J.*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.22521/edupij.2025.14.45.